

# CONTENTS

<i>Figures</i> .....	xvii
<i>Tables</i> .....	xix
<i>Boxes</i> .....	xxi
<i>Resources (Online)</i> .....	xxii
<i>Preface</i> .....	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i> .....	xxxiii

## PART I: OVERVIEW AND IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS

<b>1. Assessment and Decision Making in Schools</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>Diverse Students and Educational Supports</b> .....	<b>4</b>
Racial and Ethnic Diversity — 6	
Geographic Diversity — 6	
Socioeconomic Diversity — 6	
Linguistic Diversity — 7	
Diversity in Skill Development — 7	
Diversity in Opportunity to Learn — 9	
<b>Methods of Collecting Assessment Information</b> .....	<b>10</b>
Record Review — 10	
Interviews — 11	
Observations — 11	
Tests — 11	
<b>Making Assessment Decisions</b> .....	<b>12</b>
Screening Decisions — 13	
Diagnostic and Eligibility Decisions — 13	
Instructional Planning and Modification Decisions — 14	
Outcomes Decisions — 16	
<b>The Assessment Process</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>Why Learn About Assessment in Special and Inclusive Education?</b> .....	<b>19</b>

Ensuring Fairness in Assessment . . . . .	19
<b>2. A Principled Approach to Assessment and Decision Making . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
Fairness Is Paramount . . . . .	22
Individual Students Need Different Levels of Support to Succeed. . . . .	23
Different Decisions May Require Collection of Different Data Types . . . . .	24
Individual Students Need Different Assessment Methods. . . . .	25
Only Present Behavior Is Observed. . . . .	26
Avoid High-Inference Decision Making . . . . .	26
Accurately Collect, Score, Interpret, and Communicate Assessment Information . . . . .	28
Keep Assessment Instructionally Relevant. . . . .	29
Principled Assessment Practices Are Dynamic . . . . .	29
<b>3. Laws, Ethical Codes, and Professional Standards That Impact     Assessment . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Laws . . . . .</b>	<b>32</b>
Every Student Succeeds Act — 35	
Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 — 36	
Individuals With Disabilities Education Act — 37	
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 — 39	
2004 Reauthorization of IDEA — 39	
Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 — 39	
Americans With Disabilities Act Amendments of 2008 — 40	
Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 — 40	
<b>Ethical Codes . . . . .</b>	<b>40</b>
Four Broad Ethical Principles — 41	
<b>How Do You Resolve an Ethical Dilemma? . . . . .</b>	<b>43</b>
Professional Standards on Assessment. . . . .	46
<b>4. Test Scores and Norms . . . . .</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Descriptive Statistics. . . . .</b>	<b>50</b>
Basic Statistical Notation — 50	
Scales of Measurement — 50	
Characteristics of Distributions — 53	
Average Scores — 54	

Measures of Dispersion — 54	
Correlation — 57	
<b>Scoring Student Performance</b> . . . . .	<b>58</b>
Subjective Versus Objective Scoring — 59	
Summarizing Student Performance — 59	
<b>Interpreting Test Performance</b> . . . . .	<b>61</b>
Criterion-Referenced Interpretation — 61	
Standards-Referenced Interpretation — 62	
Norm-Referenced Interpretations — 62	
<b>Cautionary Comments on Interpreting Scores</b> . . . . .	<b>69</b>
<b>Normative Sample</b> . . . . .	<b>70</b>
Important Characteristics — 71	
Other Considerations — 74	
<b>Ensuring Fairness in Using Test Scores</b> . . . . .	<b>78</b>
<b>5. Technical Requirements: Reliability and Validity</b> . . . . .	<b>79</b>
<b>Reliability</b> . . . . .	<b>79</b>
Reliability Coefficient — 81	
Standard Error of Measurement — 91	
Estimated True Scores — 92	
<b>Confidence Intervals</b> . . . . .	<b>93</b>
Difference Scores — 95	
Desirable Standards of Reliability — 96	
<b>Validity</b> . . . . .	<b>97</b>
Evidence That Test Inferences Are Valid — 98	
Factors Affecting Validity — 103	
Responsibility for Valid Assessment — 105	
<b>6. Cultural and Linguistic Considerations in Assessment and Decision Making</b> . . . . .	<b>107</b>
<b>Disparities in Behavior and Academic Outcomes for CLD Students</b> . . . . .	<b>109</b>
<b>Legal Considerations</b> . . . . .	<b>110</b>
Protections for Students Being Assessed — 112	
Protections for Parents in the Assessment Process — 112	
<b>Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Assessment</b> . . . . .	<b>113</b>

Assessing English Learners for Special Education —	114
English-Learner Specific Accessibility and Accommodations —	116
Additional Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Practices —	117
<b>Alternative Ways to Test Students Who Are ELs. . . . .</b>	<b>121</b>
Native Language Testing —	121
Test in Multiple Languages —	122
Use Nonverbal Tests —	122
Test in English Only —	123
Another Option to Consider —	124

## **PART II: PROVIDING SUPPORT AND MONITORING STUDENT PROGRESS**

<b>7. Assessment in Multi-Tiered Systems of Support . . . . .</b>	<b>129</b>
RTI and MTSS Defined . . . . .	130
Features of RTI and MTSS . . . . .	131
Importance of Assessment. . . . .	134
Systems are Essential for the Implementation of RTI and MTSS . . . . .	136
Fundamental Assumptions in Assessing Response to Intervention. . . . .	138
Another Method for Evaluating RTI—Gap Analysis —	140
Blending MTSS and RTI Together —	141
Intervention Features That Influence Intervention Effect . . . . .	141
Intervention Efficacy —	141
Correct Alignment of Intervention With Student Need —	142
Intervention Integrity —	143
Intervention Dosage —	143
What Would MTSS Look Like if It Were Implemented Well? . . . . .	145
MTSS and RTI Resources and Examples —	147
<b>8. Monitoring Student Progress Toward Instructional Goals . . . . .</b>	<b>149</b>
Characteristics of Effective Progress Monitoring Tools. . . . .	151
Direct Measurement of Basic Skills —	151
Representative Sampling of Skills Expected to Be Taught Across the Targeted Time Period —	151
Efficient and Frequent Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation —	152
Sensitive to Small Amounts of Change in Skills Over Short Periods of Time —	152
Example Progress Monitoring Tools . . . . .	153

Curriculum-Based Measurement Approaches — 153	
Computer-Adaptive Approaches — 156	
<b>Setting Goals. . . . .</b>	<b>.156</b>
Level Versus Growth — 157	
Comparison Approaches — 157	
Moderate Versus Ambitious Goals — 159	
<b>Knowing When to Make an Instructional Change. . . . .</b>	<b>.159</b>
<b>Fairness Considerations When Progress Monitoring . . . . .</b>	<b>.162</b>

## **PART III: USING FORMAL MEASURES**

<b>9. How to Evaluate a Test . . . . .</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>Basic Evaluation Questions. . . . .</b>	<b>.168</b>
Is the Test's Content Appropriate? — 168	
Is the Test Appropriate for the Student? — 169	
Is the Tester Qualified to Administer the Test? — 169	
Is the Test Technically Appropriate? — 169	
<b>The Evaluation Process. . . . .</b>	<b>.169</b>
1. Acquire All Relevant Materials — 169	
2. Specify the Test's Most Important Characteristics — 170	
3. Review the Test — 171	
4. Make a Summative Decision — 175	
<b>10. Screening . . . . .</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Screening Measures Should Be Brief . . . . .</b>	<b>.180</b>
<b>Screening Measures Should Be Aligned With Grade-Level Content. . . . .</b>	<b>.180</b>
<b>Screening Measures Should Yield Technically Adequate Scores . . . . .</b>	<b>.181</b>
<b>Screening Systems Must Be Efficient . . . . .</b>	<b>.188</b>
<b>Universal Versus Targeted Screening . . . . .</b>	<b>.188</b>
<b>Under What Conditions Might Targeted Screening Be Recommended? . . . . .</b>	<b>.189</b>
<b>How Often Should Screening Occur? . . . . .</b>	<b>.189</b>
<b>Who Should Administer the Screening Measures? . . . . .</b>	<b>.190</b>
Norm-Referenced Risk Thresholds Can Be Problematic — 190	
<b>How Should Screening Results Be Shared? . . . . .</b>	<b>.191</b>



- What Actions Should the Screening Result In? .....192
- Enhancing Fairness in Screening .....192
- 11. Assessing Behavior Through Observation ..... 195**
  - Approaches to Observation.....196**
    - Quantitative or Qualitative — 196
    - Live or Aided — 196
    - Obtrusive Versus Unobtrusive — 197
    - Contrived Versus Naturalistic — 198
  - Two Formats for Nonsystematic Behavioral Observation.....198**
    - ABC Event Recording — 198
    - Direct Behavior Rating — 200
  - Defining Behavior for Systematic Observations .....201**
    - Topography of Behavior — 201
    - Function of Behavior — 201
    - Measurable Characteristics of Behavior — 201
    - Selecting the Characteristic to Measure — 202
  - Sampling Behavior .....203**
    - Contexts —203
    - Times — 204
    - Behaviors — 205
  - Conducting Systematic Observations .....208**
    - Preparation — 208
    - Data Gathering and Types of Error — 210
  - Data Summarization and Criteria for Evaluation .....213**
  - Technology and Observation.....214**
  - Fairness in Observing Students .....215**
- 12. Assessment of Academic Achievement With Multiple-Skills Measures. . 217**
  - Purposes of Achievement Testing.....217**
    - Teacher-Made Tests — 217
    - Screening — 218
    - Outcomes/Accountability — 218
    - Diagnosis/Eligibility and Intervention Planning — 218
  - Individual Versus Group-Administered Achievement Tests.....218**
  - To Whom or What Are the Test Scores Compared?.....219**

Norm-Referenced Tests — 219	
Standards-Referenced Tests — 219	
Criterion-Referenced Tests — 219	
Curriculum-Based Measures — 220	
Curriculum-Based Assessments — 220	
Computer-Adaptive Tests — 220	
Computer-Administered Tests — 220	
<b>Considerations for Selecting an Achievement Test. . . . .</b>	<b>221</b>
Content Validity — 221	
Opportunity to Learn — 221	
Response Mode of the Subtests — 222	
State Standards — 222	
Relevance and Appropriateness of Norms — 222	
Technical Adequacy — 222	
<b>Specific Individually Administered Tests of Academic Achievement . . . . .</b>	<b>223</b>
Getting the Most Out of an Achievement Test — 223	
<b>Ensuring Fairness in Assessment of Academic Achievement . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>13. Using Diagnostic Reading Measures. . . . .</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>Why Do We Assess Reading? . . . . .</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>Scientifically Based Reading Research Foundations . . . . .</b>	<b>233</b>
Misconceptions About Reading Development — 235	
<b>Skills Assessed by Diagnostic Reading Tests. . . . .</b>	<b>236</b>
Word-Attack Skills — 237	
Word Recognition Skills — 238	
Oral Reading — 238	
Reading Comprehension — 240	
Other Reading and Reading-Related Behaviors — 241	
<b>Critical Considerations When Using Diagnostic Reading Measures. . . . .</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>Commonly Used Diagnostic Reading Assessments . . . . .</b>	<b>242</b>
<b>Ensuring Fairness in Assessment of Reading Skills. . . . .</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>14. Using Diagnostic Mathematics Measures . . . . .</b>	<b>251</b>
<b>Why Do We Assess Mathematics? . . . . .</b>	<b>252</b>
<b>Instructional Debates in Math. . . . .</b>	<b>253</b>

Evidence-Based Math Instruction . . . . .	255
Skills and Understandings That Should Be Assessed in Math . . . . .	257
Diagnostic Assessment of Math in MTSS — 257	
Progress Monitoring Assessment Following Diagnostic Assessment . . . . .	259
Specific Diagnostic Mathematics Tests . . . . .	259
Ensuring Fairness in Mathematics Testing . . . . .	259
<b>15. Using Oral and Written Language Measures and Measures of Receptive Vocabulary . . . . .</b>	<b>265</b>
Why Assess Oral and Written Language? . . . . .	266
Considerations in Assessing Oral Language. . . . .	266
Cultural and Language Diversity — 269	
Developmental Considerations — 270	
Considerations in Assessing Written Language . . . . .	270
Specific Oral and Written Language Tests . . . . .	272
Use Caution When Evaluating Validity — 272	
How Do We Ensure Fairness In Language Assessments? . . . . .	278
<b>16. Using Measures of Perception and Perceptual-Motor Skills . . . . .</b>	<b>281</b>
Why Are Perceptual-Motor Tests Used in Schools? . . . . .	282
Specific Perceptual-Motor Measures . . . . .	283
Technical Adequacy of Perceptual-Motor Measures. . . . .	283
In the Interest of Fairness to Students . . . . .	287
<b>17. Using Measures of Intelligence and Cognitive Processes . . . . .</b>	<b>291</b>
Why Do We Assess Intelligence? . . . . .	294
The Effect of Opportunity to Learn, Cultural-Linguistic Background, and Age on Student Performance on Intelligence Tests . . . . .	295
Behaviors Sampled by Intelligence Tests . . . . .	297
Theories That Have Informed Intelligence Testing . . . . .	301
Commonly Interpreted Factors and Cognitive Processes on Intelligence Tests . . . . .	302
Types of Intelligence Tests . . . . .	302
Individual Tests — 302	
Group Tests — 302	
Nonverbal Intelligence Tests — 305	



Validity of Measures of Intelligence and Other Cognitive Processes . . . . .	308
Fairness in Assessment of Intelligence and Cognitive Processes . . . . .	309
<b>18. Using Measures of Social and Emotional Behavior . . . . .</b>	<b>311</b>
Why Do We Assess Social-Emotional and Adaptive Behavior? . . . . .	313
Important Considerations in the Assessment of Social-Emotional Functioning and Adaptive Behavior. . . . .	313
Assessing Social-Emotional and Adaptive Behavior. . . . .	314
Interviews — 315	
Rating Scales — 316	
Functional Behavioral Assessment and Analysis — 316	
Steps for Completing a Functional Behavior Assessment . . . . .	317
1. Define the Behavior — 317	
2. Identify Potential Setting Events and Antecedents — 317	
3. Identify the Consequences — 318	
4. Develop a Hypothesis About the Function of the Behavior — 318	
5. Test the Hypothesized Function of the Behavior — 319	
6. Develop a Behavior Intervention Plan — 319	
Commonly Used Measures of Social and Emotional Behavior. . . . .	322
<b>19. Accessibility Supports and Accommodations . . . . .</b>	<b>327</b>
Why Care About Accessibility Supports and Testing Accommodations? . . . . .	328
Increased Diversity — 328	
Changes in Educational Standards — 329	
The Need for Accurate Measurement — 330	
It Is Required by Law — 332	
Considerations for Promoting Test Accessibility. . . . .	332
Ability to Understand Assessment Stimuli — 333	
Ability to respond to Assessment Stimuli — 333	
Normative Comparisons — 334	
Appropriateness of the Level of the Items — 334	
Exposure to the Curriculum Being Tested (Opportunity to Learn) — 335	
Environmental Considerations — 335	
Types of Accessibility Supports and Test Accommodations. . . . .	335
Recommendations for Making Accommodation Decisions for Individual Students . .	336
When Planning Instruction and Making Diagnostic Decisions — 336	
During Accountability Testing — 337	

Ensuring Fairness in Making Accessibility and Accommodations Decisions . . . . .	338
--	-----

## **PART IV: USING ASSESSMENT INFORMATION TO MAKE EDUCATIONAL DECISIONS**

### **20. Using Assessment Information to Make Intervention Decisions . . . . . 343**

#### **Early Identification of Disabilities . . . . .344**

Early Identification of Academic Difficulties —	345
Early Identification of Behavioral Difficulties —	348
Increasing Teacher Competence and School Capacity —	349
The Intervention Assistance Team —	349
Intervention Assistance Team Process —	350
When to Refer the Student for Multidisciplinary Evaluation —	358

#### **Decisions Made in Special Education and Included in an IEP . . . . .359**

Current Levels of Performance —	360
Annual Goals —	360
Specially Designed Instruction —	361
Related Services —	363
Least Restrictive Environment —	366
Placement Options —	366
Effectiveness of the Instructional Program —	368

#### **Fairness and Using Data to Make Instructional and Placement Decisions . . . . .369**

### **21. Using Assessment Information to Make Diagnostic/ Eligibility Decisions. . . . . 371**

#### **Official Student Disabilities. . . . .372**

Autism —	372
Intellectual Disability —	373
Specific Learning Disability —	374
Emotional Disturbance —	374
Traumatic Brain Injury —	375
Speech or Language Impairment —	375
Visual Impairment —	376
Deafness and Hearing Impairment —	376
Orthopedic Impairments —	377
Other Health impairments —	377
Deaf-Blindness —	378
Multiple Disabilities —	378

Developmental Delay — 378	
<b>Establishing Educational Need for Special Education . . . . .</b>	<b>384</b>
<b>The Multidisciplinary Team . . . . .</b>	<b>385</b>
Composition of the MDT — 385	
Responsibilities of the MDT — 386	
<b>The Process of Determining Eligibility . . . . .</b>	<b>386</b>
Procedural Safeguards — 386	
Valid Assessments — 387	
Team Process — 388	
<b>Problems in Determining Special Education Eligibility . . . . .</b>	<b>390</b>
<b>Ensuring Fairness in Making Eligibility Decisions . . . . .</b>	<b>391</b>
<b>22. Using Assessment Information to Make Accountability Decisions . . . . .</b>	<b>393</b>
Legal Requirements . . . . .	396
Types of Accountability . . . . .	397
Measuring Whether Students Meet Standards . . . . .	399
Alternate Assessment . . . . .	401
Alternate Assessments Based on Alternate Achievement Standards — 403	
Making Participation Decisions for Individual Students . . . . .	403
Understanding Assessment Information Used to Make Accountability Decisions . . . . .	404
<b>23. Principles and Practices for Collaborative Teams . . . . .</b>	<b>407</b>
<b>Characteristics of Effective School Teams . . . . .</b>	<b>408</b>
Meeting Foundations — 409	
Additional Considerations for Effective Teams — 411	
<b>Types of Collaborative Teams . . . . .</b>	<b>415</b>
Teams That Coordinate Tier 1 Supports — 415	
Teams That Coordinate Tiers 2 and 3 Supports — 418	
<b>Communicating Assessment Information to Parents and Students . . . . .</b>	<b>419</b>
Communicate With Parents Frequently — 420	
Communicate Both the Child’s Strengths and Weaknesses — 422	
Translate Assessment Information and Team Communications — 422	
Understand the Impact of Cultural Differences on the Interpretation of Assessment Information — 422	
Schedule Meetings to Facilitate Parent Attendance — 423	
Explain the Purpose of Assessment Activities and Potential Outcomes — 423	

Communicate Using Nontechnical Language —	423
Focus on Solutions and Avoid Blame —	424
Prepare Students for Active Participation in Meetings —	424
Manage Electronic Communication —	424

**24. How to Read and Understand Psychoeducational Reports and State Assessment Reports . . . . . 427**

**Reading and Understanding Psychoeducational Reports. . . . . 427**

The Purposes of Psychoeducational Reports —	428
What Are the Components of Psychoeducational Reports? —	428
Making Sense of It All —	429
Helping Parents Understand Reports —	431
Questionable Information in Psychological Reports —	431
Two Examples of Psychoeducational Reports —	432

**Understanding State Assessment Reports. . . . . 433**

Questions You Should Ask —	433
References —	439
Author/Subject Index —	453

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	The assessment process. . . . .	18
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Adjacent and nonadjacent values. . . . .	51
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	The measurement of lines as a function of the starting point. . . . .	52
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Relationship among mode, median, and mean for symmetrical and asymmetrical distributions. . . . .	53
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Platykurtic and leptokurtic curves. . . . .	54
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Scores on three scales, expressed in standard deviation units. . . . .	57
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	Mean number correct for 10 age groups: an example of arriving at age-equivalent scores. . . . .	64
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	Relationship among selected standard scores, percentiles, and the normal curve. . . . .	69
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Constricting the range of test scores and the resulting reduction of the estimate of a test's reliability. . . . .	88
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Extending the range of test scores and the possible spurious increase in the estimate of a test's reliability. . . . .	89
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	The standard error of measurement: the standard deviation of the error distribution around a true score for one subject. . . . .	91
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	The discrepancy between obtained scores and true scores for reliable and unreliable tests. . . . .	93
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Sample multiple-choice questions for a primary grade (K–2) arithmetic test. . . . .	99
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	Students receive multiple tiers of support that increase in intensity if they are not successful in school. . . . .	131
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	The multi-tiered system of supports pictured as a triangle. . . . .	135
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Oregon MTSS teaming framework. . . . .	137
<b>Figure 7.4</b>	Percentage average change in referrals for aligned cohort and district averages, 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 school years. . . . .	146
<b>Figure 10.1</b>	In this example, two classes are shown. The first class (on the left) has 6 of 36 students scoring below the screening benchmark, whereas the second class (on the right) has 18 of 36 students scoring below the screening benchmark. . . . .	179
<b>Figure 10.2</b>	This figure shows the accuracy of two screeners. The screener on the left correctly detects 5.4 of 6 students who need intervention and 24 of 30 students who do not need intervention for an overall percentage correct identification of 82%. The screener on the right correctly detects 3 of 6 students who need intervention and 18 of	

	30 students who do not need intervention for an overall percentage correct identification of 58%. . . . .	182
<b>Figure 10.3</b>	Reading screening. . . . .	183
<b>Figure 10.4</b>	Screening results of four distinct groups.. . . .	184
<b>Figure 10.5</b>	The calculation of sensitivity and specificity comes directly from the proportions of false-positive and false-negative decision errors. . . . .	185
<b>Figure 10.6</b>	Screening scores plotted against year-end test scores allow us to see the numbers of correct and incorrect decisions that are used to calculate sensitivity and specificity.. . . .	186
<b>Figure 10.7</b>	Using the counts in each cell, we calculate sensitivity and specificity. . . .	186
<b>Figure 10.8</b>	Classwide screening graph that shows most students in the class are performing in the range considered to be at risk. . . . .	191
<b>Figure 10.9</b>	Classwide screening graph that shows only three children are performing in the range that is considered to be at risk.. . . .	192
<b>Figure 11.1</b>	A simple recording form for three students and two behaviors. . . . .	209
<b>Figure 11.2</b>	Aimlines for accelerating and decelerating behavior.. . . .	213
<b>Figure 14.1</b>	Possible sequence of assessment to determine intervention target for a second-grade student. . . . .	258
<b>Figure 17.1</b>	Items that assess figural, symbolic, and semantic discrimination.. . . .	297
<b>Figure 17.2</b>	Items that assess figural, symbolic, and semantic generalization.. . . .	298
<b>Figure 17.3</b>	Items that assess sequencing skill. . . . .	299
<b>Figure 17.4</b>	Analogy items.. . . .	300
<b>Figure 17.5</b>	A pattern completion item. . . . .	300
<b>Figure 17.6</b>	A matrix completion item.. . . .	301
<b>Figure 20.1</b>	TIPS framework. . . . .	352
<b>Figure 20.2</b>	Intervention plan.. . . .	353
<b>Figure 20.3</b>	A successful learning intervention. . . . .	356
<b>Figure 20.4</b>	Student progress with an aimline.. . . .	357
<b>Figure 20.5</b>	Request for consultation . . . . .	358
<b>Figure 23.1</b>	Completed example form to guide initial problem-solving team meeting. . .	412

The following figures appear within Online Resource 4.1:

<b>Figure A</b>	Scatterplot of the two tests administered by Ms. Smith.
<b>Figure B</b>	Six scatterplots illustrating different degrees and directions of relationship.
<b>Figure C</b>	Three zero-order linear correlations.

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Racial and Ethnic Composition and Percentage of Students Receiving Free and Reduced-Price Lunch (FRPL) in Some Large Representative U.S. School Districts . . . . .	5
<b>Table 1.2</b>	Educational Decisions Made Using Assessment Information . . . . .	12
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Major Federal Laws and Their Key Provisions Relevant to Assessment . . . . .	33
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Commonly Used Statistical Symbols . . . . .	50
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Computation of the Variance of Ms. Smith's Arithmetic Class . . . . .	56
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Drinking From a Cup . . . . .	59
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Computing Percentile Ranks of a Hypothetical Class of Twenty-Five . . . . .	66
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Hypothetical Performance of 20 Children on a 10-item Test . . . . .	83
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Observations of Sam's On-Task Behavior During Reading, Where "+" Is On Task and "-" Is Off Task . . . . .	86
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Summary of Two Observers' Assessments of Sam's On-Task Behavior During Reading . . . . .	87
<b>Table 5.4</b>	Commonly Used $z$ Scores, Extreme Areas, and Area Included Between Confidence Interval Values . . . . .	94
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Percentage Change of Suspension Events and Repeat Suspensions for High Schools Only and Aligned Cohorts and District Averages, 2016–2017 and 2017–2018 School Years . . . . .	146
<b>Table 11.1</b>	Observations of Zack's Behavior . . . . .	199
<b>Table 12.1</b>	Commonly Used Tests of Academic Achievement . . . . .	224
<b>Table 12.2</b>	Grade-Equivalent Scores Obtained by Matching Specific Reading Test Words to Standardized Reading Test Words . . . . .	229
<b>Table 13.1</b>	Commonly Used Diagnostic Reading Measures . . . . .	244
<b>Table 14.1</b>	Commonly Used Diagnostic Mathematics Tests . . . . .	260
<b>Table 15.1</b>	Language Subskills for Each Channel of Communication . . . . .	268
<b>Table 15.2</b>	Commonly Used Oral and Written Language Tests . . . . .	273
<b>Table 16.1</b>	Representative Tests of Perceptual and Perceptual-Motor Skills . . . . .	284
<b>Table 17.1</b>	Common Intelligence Test Terms, Associated Theorists and Tests, and Examples of Associated Behaviors Sampled . . . . .	303
<b>Table 17.2</b>	Commonly Used Nonverbal Measures of Intelligence . . . . .	306
<b>Table 17.3</b>	Commonly Used Nonverbal Measures of Intelligence . . . . .	307
<b>Table 18.1</b>	Commonly Used Measures of Social and Emotional Behavior . . . . .	308



The following table appears within Online Resource 4.1:

**Table A** Scores Earned on Two Tests Administered by Ms. Smith to Her Arithmetic Class



## List of Boxes

<b>Box 3.1</b>	DECIDE Ethical Decision-Making Model . . . . .	44
<b>Box 5.1</b>	Minimum Standards for Test Reliability According to Test Purpose. . . . .	82
<b>Box 5.2</b>	Significant Discrepancy . . . . .	97
<b>Box 6.1</b>	Additional Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Practices . . . . .	117
<b>Box 6.2</b>	Sample Questions to Gain an Understanding of Prior Educational Experiences . . . . .	120
<b>Box 8.1</b>	Academic Progress Monitoring Measures Receiving Satisfactory Ratings From the National Center on Intensive Intervention. . . . .	153
<b>Box 8.2</b>	Characteristics of Traditional CBMs. . . . .	154
<b>Box 20.1</b>	Considerations in Making Decisions About Feasibility. . . . .	355

## Resources (Online)

- Resource 1.1** The RIOT/ICEL Matrix: Organizing Data to Answer Questions About Student Academic Performance & Behavior
- Resource 4.1** Advanced Information About Correlations
- Resource 4.2** Advanced Information: Finding a Representative Sample of People
- Resource 5.1** Advanced Information About Difference Scores
- Resource 19.1** CCSSO Accessibility Manual: How to Select, Administer, and Evaluate Use of Accessibility Supports for Instruction and Assessment of All Students
- Resource 20.1** Resources to Discover Scientific- and Evidence-Based Curricula and Interventions
- Resource 20.2** The Instructional Hierarchy: A Scientific Framework for Connecting Instructional Tactics to Student Proficiency
- Resource 23.1** Considerations for Data Collection and Document Sharing Through Written and Electronic Records
- Resource 24.1** Specific Learning Disabilities Assessment Documentation Form
- Resource 24.2** Educational Evaluation Report