

In this index, f denotes figure and t denotes table.

Δ

AAC. See Augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices and users Academic achievement standards, 33–34 See also Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Accents and dialects, 264–265 Accountability cost-benefit ratios, 193 ESSA and, 33–36, 33t, 37 research to practice, 386 standards-based reform and, 4-6 testing and, 379–380 See also Certification and licensing Adams, M., 247 Adapted textbooks, 287–288 Adolescents. See Secondary students service delivery ADR (Alternative dispute resolution), 311 Allington, R., 389 Alternate assessments, 157–158 Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 311 American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) about, 3, 354–356 autism resources and treatment, 278, 282-283 certification, 328–329 cognitive referencing, 137 cultural competence, 128 dialects, 264–265 functional outcomes, 191 infants and toddlers, 268–269 job market, 333 literacy, reading, and writing, 234, 237, 239 practice management resources, 363 prevention services, 170 standardized tests, 110 Strategic Pathway to Excellence, 375 Workload Approach, 207–209, 207f Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), 23–24, 55–57, 59*f* America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being, 2013, 7-10 Annual reviews, 166 Apel, K., 249 Articulation resource centers, 218–219

ASHA. See American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) ASHA Workload Approach, 171, 207–209, 207f Assessment process for speech, language, and hearing disabilities of AAC devices and users, 290-292 completion of process, 87f, 94–96 eligibility determination, 92–94, 96t, 105-106 evaluation procedures, 103–105, 393, 394 evaluations versus assessments, 86-87 importance of team approach, 94–95, 97 of infants and toddlers, 269–270 initial evaluations, 88–94, 100, 112–113, methods and types of, 107–114, 107*t*, 108*t*, 260-262, 261fobservation, 94 parental consent, 94, 98, 99f, 100 of preschoolers, 241–242 purposes of, 86 reevaluations, 92, 94 screenings versus assessments, 394–395 selection of appropriate tools, 91–92, 102 - 107of students with ASD, 277-278 timelines, 91, 101–102, 101*t* Assessment reports, 114–117 Assessment systems. See Alternate assessments; Standardized assessment systems Assistive technology (AT), 285–293, 289t See also Augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices and users Assistive Technology (Montana Office of Public Instruction), 294 Audiology services. See Educational audiologists and audiology services Augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices and users, 111, 140, 288–297, 296*f* Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), children case law about, 50-51 prevalence of, 139t services for, 274-285, 276f, 281t-282t, 379

В

Bain, B., 199 Batsche, G., 69, 81 Behavioral intervention plan (BIP), 316, 317, 318 Bellini, P., 244 Benchmarks. See Objectives or benchmarks Benefit. See Educational benefit Bickerton, C., 247 Biemiller, A., 10 Bilingualism, 146-148, 259-260 See also Culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) students; English-language learners (ELLs) BIP. See Behavioral intervention plan (BIP) Board of Education of Hendrick Hudson School District v. Rowley, 44–46 Bonderman, I., 223 Bourque Meaux, A., 171 Boyle, C., 139 Brown v. Board of Education, 22 Burns, M., 235 Bush, G. H. W., 23 Bush, G. W., 19 C Calvert, L., 188 Case law, 44–51, 52*t*–55*t*, 313, 315, 395–396 Caseload versus workload, 206–210, 207f, 243-244 Case manager responsibilities, 127–128, 186-187 CAT. See computer adaptive testing (CAT) Categorical funding, 340–341 Catts, H., 235 CBM/CBA. See Curriculum-based measurement/curriculum-based assessment (CBM/ CCSS. See Common Core State Standards (CCSS) Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garret F., 49 Certification and licensing, 328–332, 330t, Child Find, 24, 63–64, 298–299, 391–393 Children, indicators of well-being in, 6–14, Child with a disability, defined, 88-91 Cirrin, F., 189 Civil rights legal action, 22–23 Classroom instruction (macrodelivery system), 184, 188–189, 190 CLD. See Culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) students Code-switching, 260 Cognitive referencing, 137–138, 141 Cole, L., 265 Collaborating With Interpreters and Translators (Langdon & Cheng), 264

Collaborative intervention (macrodelivery system), 185, 188–189 See also Consultation and collaboration Common Core State Standards (CCSS) about, 6, 35 application to students with disabilities, 132, 376 complex communication needs and, 140 goal development and, 152–153, 154*t*–156*t*, 159-160 Compensatory education, 307, 312 Computer adaptive testing (CAT), 379–380 Concussions, students with, 297, 378–379 Confidentiality, 164 Conflict management, 322–325 See also Due process and due process hearings Consultation and collaboration, 223–234, 233tSee also Collaborative intervention (macrodelivery system) Continuing education, 366–368 Contractors, 337 Conventional therapy (macrodelivery system), 185 Cost recovery. See Medicaid Counseling and guidance, 180–181, 182*t*, 183, 203 Court decisions. See Case law Cultural competence, 128, 144 Culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) students, 11, 128–129, 241, 258–266, 261f See also Disproportionality; English-language learners (ELLs) Curriculum access, special education students and, 79, 132, 133 Curriculum and instruction, 5, 375-376 See also Common Core State Standards Curriculum-based measurement/curriculum-based assessment (CBM/CBA), 106, 112 Curriculum linkages, service delivery and, 232-239, 233t Customer satisfaction, 201–202

D

Daniel R. R. v. State Board of Education, 46–47
Developmental disabilities (DDs) trends, 139
Dialects. See Accents and dialects
DIR approach, 284
Disability definition, 135
Discipline procedures, 315–316
See also Manifestation determination
Dismissal criteria. See Exit or dismissal criteria Disproportionality, 10, 75, 141–144, 143*t* about, 105-106 Dispute resolution processes, 308t for ELLs, 144-150 Diversity, 7, 8, 102, 113 evaluation procedures, 91–94, 96t, 393 See also Culturally and linguistically difederal and state criteria, 133-138 verse (CLD) students methods to decrease disproportionality in, Documentation. See Recordkeeping and 143-144 documentation for preschoolers, 241–242 Dodd, J., 156 present levels of academic achievement Dollaghan, C., 199 and, 133 Dual-language learners (DLLs), 144 special considerations, 138–150, 139*t*, 140*t*, See also English-language learners (ELLs) Due process and due process hearings ELLs. See English-language learners (ELLs) about, 25, 302, 307, 311–314, 313t Employment, 333–337, 336t IEEs and, 118 Endrew F. by Joseph F. v. Douglas County IEP meeting excusal and, 127 School District, 50–51 lack of parental participation, 302, 304, English-language learners (ELLs), 7, 144–150, 306 259, 379 right to assess and, 100 See also Culturally and linguistically ditestifying dos and don'ts, 313t verse (CLD) students See also Dispute resolution processes EPSDT (Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment), 343 Ε ESEA. See Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) EAHCA. See Education for All Handicapped ESSA. See Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Children Act (EAHCA) Ethics, 351–354 Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Evaluation procedures, 91–94, 96*t*, 103–105, Treatment (EPSDT), 343 393, 394–395, 397 Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Kinder-Evaluations versus assessments, 86–87 garten Class of 2010–2011, 12–13 Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 19, 31–39, Early intervening services (EIS), 70, 71, 73– 77, 342-343 Evidence-based practice (EBP), 187, 199–201, See also Response to intervention (RTI) EBP. See Evidence-based practice (EBP) Exit or dismissal criteria, 167–168 Educating Students With Learning Problems Expelled students. See Suspended or expelled (Will), 4 students Educational audiologists and audiology services, 162, 286, 349-351 F Educational benefit, 46, 51, 283–284 Educational change, 373–374, 374t, 382–383 Families, 10–12, 13, 242, 265–266, 319–325 Educational reforms. See General education See also Parent entries reforms; Special education reforms Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 164, 303 Educational services in the 21st century, 377-383 FBA. See Functional behavioral assessment Education for All Handicapped Children Act (FBA) (EAHCA), 23, 24–26, 27t, 239, 240 Federal funding, 339 See also Individuals With Disabilities Edu-See also Medicaid; Special education cation Act (IDEA) Education of Mentally Retarded Children FERPA. See Family Educational Rights and Act, 22 Privacy Act (FERPA) Flahive, M., 180, 181 Education of the Handicapped Act, 23 EIS. See Early intervening services (EIS) Flynn, P., 225–226 Eisenhower, D., 22 Forest Park (MI) School District, 395 Elementary and Secondary Education Act Free appropriate public education (FAPE) (ESEA), 5, 18, 19, 28, 31, 37–38 about, 41-43, 307, 309 See also Every Student Succeeds Act assistive technology and, 293, 294 (ESSA) case law regarding, 44–51

IEP meeting excusal and, 127

Eligibility determination

| parental advocacy in ASD and, 283 | Head injuries, students with, 297, 378–379 |
|---|--|
| parental refusal to consent and, 100 | Health Insurance Portability and Account- |
| speech–language services and, 51, 55 | ability Act (HIPAA), 164 |
| Free Appropriate Public Education (Turnbull), 302 | Hearing loss. <i>See</i> Educational audiologists and audiology services |
| Frequency and duration of services. See In- | Hearing screenings. See Newborn hearing |
| tensity and dosage of services | screenings |
| Fullan, M., 383, 388 | Herer, G., 272, 273 |
| Functional behavioral assessment (FBA), 315, | Highly qualified staff, 36 |
| 316–317 | High school students. See Secondary students |
| Functional communication assessment sum- | service delivery |
| mary, 196, 197, 198 <i>f</i> –199 <i>f</i> | Hill, S., 247 |
| Functional outcomes, 190–196, 195 <i>f</i> , 199, | HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and |
| 201–202 | Accountability Act), 164 |
| Funding | Hispanic student statistics, 7, 8 |
| AT and AAC devices, 290, 292, 293–294 | Homebound, homeschooled, or hospitalized |
| about, 338–344 | students, 298 |
| for early intervening services, 70, 71, | Homer, E., 247 |
| 74–75, 342–343 | Honig v. Doe, 315 |
| future watch and, 380 | |
| health care system, 194 | |
| for IEEs, 117 for special education, 339–344 | IDEA 1990, 23–24, 27 <i>t</i> |
| through ESSA and IDEA, 32 | IDEA 1997, 5, 28, 29 <i>t</i> –30 <i>t</i> , 39–40 |
| Future, envisioning the, 372–377, 374 <i>t</i> | IDEA 2004 |
| Future, predictions for the, 382–386 | about, 19–21, 24, 39–51, 59f |
| ,1 | alignments and conflicts between ESSA |
| G | and, 37–38 |
| | assistive technology, 286, 287, 290, 292 |
| Garret F. case. See Cedar Rapids Community | autism definition, 277 |
| School District v. Garret F. | Child Find, 64, 298–299 |
| General education reforms, 18–19 | disability statistics, 14 |
| General educators, 225, 230–232 | functional outcomes as reflected in, 195 funding, 70–71, 74–75, 340 |
| Goal development | infants and toddlers, 266 |
| about, 150–152 CCSS and, 152–153, 154 <i>t</i> –156 <i>t</i> , 159–160 | likelihood of services, 143 <i>t</i> |
| for secondary students, 249, 251, 252–253 | overidentification, 10 |
| SMART-goal format, 156–157, 157 <i>t</i> | prevention services, 170, 171 |
| for students with moderate to severe dis- | related services qualifications, 332 |
| abilities, 157–158 | Section 504 versus, 56 |
| teaming and, 227–228 | state complaint procedures, 314 |
| Goldsworthy, C., 235 | See also Eligibility determination; IEP |
| Good practice, 183–186, 244–246, 396 | meeting process; IEP team attendance |
| Goss v. Lopez, 315 | and composition; Local education agency |
| GRASP IT! process, 261 <i>f</i> , 262 | (LEA) |
| Griffin, P., 235 | IEEs. See Independent educational evalua- |
| Grouping strategies, 210, 219–223 | tions (IEEs) |
| Gutiérrez-Clellen, V., 263 | IEP meeting frequency and notices, 127–128 IEP meeting process |
| | AT and AAC devices, 286, 289, 292 |
| Н | annual review, 166 |
| Hall-Mills, S., 138 | |
| Handicap definition, 135 | |
| | exit or dismissal criteria, 167-168 |
| | exit or dismissal criteria, 167–168 factors and special considerations during, |
| Handicapped Children's Protection Act, 25 Hart, B., 10–11 | exit or dismissal criteria, 167-168 |

parental involvement in, 302, 304, 305– K 306, 320, 322 Kaderavek, J., 236 steps of, 129–133, 130*t*–131*t*, 150–153, Kahmi, A., 235 156–163, 156t, 163f Kari H. v. Franklin Special School District, 48 triennial assessments, 166-167 Kennedy, J., 21 See also Eligibility determination Kevin T. v. Elmhurst Community School Dis-IEP team attendance and composition, 122– trict No. 205, 49 127, 124t, 230-232, 252, 304 Kindergartners. See Early Childhood Longitu-IFSPs. See Individualized Family Service dinal Study, Kindergarten Class of 2010–2011 Plans (IFSPs) Kuhn, D., 219 Impairment definition, 134 Incarcerated students, 298 L Inclusion continuum, 187–188 Langdon, H., 264 Inclusion practices, 245–246 Language differences, as IEP special consid-See also Macrodelivery systems eration, 128–129 Independent contractors, 337 See also Culturally and linguistically di-Independent educational evaluations (IEEs), verse (CLD) students; English-language 117-119, 304-305 learners (ELLs) Individualized Education Program (IEP) Language of instruction, 262–263 about, 122 Larson, V., 249, 253 avoiding mistakes in, 323–325 Latino children, 260 considerations for, 128-129 See also Hispanic student statistics intensity and dosage, 179-180 LEA. See Local education agency (LEA) language of instruction, 263 Least restrictive environment (LRE), 43–51, See also IEP meeting process 55, 189 Individualized Family Service Plans (IFSPs), See also Lieberman and Houston-Wilson 122, 266-267 model Individualized Transition Plans (ITPs), 252 Legal concerns. See Case law Individuals With Disabilities Education Act Legislation. See General education reforms; (IDEA). See IDEA 1990; IDEA 1997; IDEA Special education reforms; specific legislation 2004 Legislative knowledge, 18, 28, 30–31, 385–386 Infant and toddler services, 242, 266–274, Lewis, N., 261–262 273tLiability and insurance, 359–361 Informed consent. See Parental consent Licensing. See State licensing Initial evaluations, 88-94, 100, 112-113, 306 Lieberman and Houston-Wilson model, Instruction, language of, 262–263 188 - 189Instructional support teams (ISTs), 72, 80– Limited English proficiency, 128–129 85, 101, 396-397 See also English-language learners (ELLs) Integrated services in classrooms, 225–226 Linguistically diverse students. See Culturally Intensity and dosage of services, 179–180 and linguistically diverse (CLD) students Intensive intervention, 70t Literacy, reading, and writing, 234–239, Interpreters and translators, 263-264 246-247, 248, 253 Interprofessional practice, 377 Litigation. See Case law; Due process and due See also Support personnel model process hearings Interviews. See Job interviews Local education agency (LEA) Ireland, M., 138 compensatory education, 307 ISTs. See Instructional support teams (ISTs) curriculum knowledge and, 133 ITPs (Individualized Transition Plans), 252 disproportionality and, 143 due process and, 309, 311, 312, 313 J IEP meeting excusal and, 126 parental access to school records, 165 Job applications, 333–334 Job interviews, 334–335, 336*t* Lyon, G., 238 Job market, 333 М Job performance evaluations, 364-365

Macrodelivery systems, 184–185, 188–189,

190, 232-234, 233t

Johnson, C., 354

Justice, L., 114, 189–190, 247

Manifestation determination, 315, 316, 317-319 Manthey, G., 79 Margolis, R., 181 Marian, V., 146 McGill-Franzen, A., 389 McKinley, N., 249, 253 McLaughlin, M., 5 McWhirt by McWhirt v. Williamson County School, 48 MDATs. See Multidisciplinary assessment teams (MDATs) MDTs (Multidisciplinary teams), 227 Mediation process, 310–314, 313t See also Due process and due process hearings Medicaid, 329, 343-344 Medical devices, 286 Meetings, without parental involvement, 304 Mentors, 163 Middle school students. See Secondary students service delivery Millikin, C., 138 Mills, W., 83-84 Mills v. D.C. Board of Education, 22–23 Minority groups. See Culturally and linguistically diverse (CLD) students; Disproportionality M. L. by C. D. and S. L. v. Federal Way School District, 49 Montgomery, J., 223 MTSS. See Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) Multidisciplinary assessment teams (MDATs), 86, 94-95, 115, 123, 124t See also Team approach Multidisciplinary teams (MDTs), 227 Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS), 66-77, 81, 86 See also Response to intervention (RTI) Musgrove, M., 245

N

Narrative abilities, 279–280
NASDSE. See National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE)
National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE), 68, 81, 105
National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 6–7, 12–14
National certification, 328–329
A Nation at Risk (National Commission on Excellence in Education), 3
NCES. See National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)
Newborn hearing screenings, 271–274, 273t

Newborn intensive care units (NICUs), 271–272 No Child Left Behind (NCLB), 19, 31 See also Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Nolet, V., 5 Normative reference points, 137–138

Oberti v. Board of Education of Borough of

Clementon School District, 47

Objectives or benchmarks, 150, 195

0

Obama, B., 19

outcomes

Pina, D., 244

Personalized learning, 38, 381

PICO statements, 199–201, 200t

Observation, 94 Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), 24, 136, 149–150 Organizational structures, 338 Organizing. See Scheduling and organizing Ortiz, A., 259 OSEP. See Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) Outcomes, 192 See also Functional outcomes Overidentification. See Disproportionality P Panama-Buena Vista Union School District v. Parent on Behalf of Student, 395-396 Paramboukas, A., 188 Paraprofessionals. See Speech aides; Support personnel model Parent advocacy, 283-284 Parental access to school records, 164-166 Parental consent about, 306-307 for assessment process, 94, 98, 99f, 100 screenings and, 394, 395, 396 Parental notification and involvement, 85, 124-126, 302-307, 320-322 Parent interviews, 100 Parham, L., 247 Pasatiempo v. Aizawa, 395 Paul, P., 188 Paul, R., 267 Peer tutors, 229-230 Peña, E., 148, 260, 261 Pennsylvania Association for Retarded Children (PARC) v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 22 "People first" language, 26 Performance outcomes. See Functional

Placement determination. See Program, *See also* Free appropriate public education placement, and services determination (FAPE); Least restrictive environment PLCs. See Professional learning communities (LRE) (PLCs) Progress reporting, 158–159, 166, 199 Poolaw v. Bishop, 48 Pull-out service delivery, 172, 244 Positive behavior supports and student disci-See also Collaborative intervention (macrodelivery system); Conventional therapy pline, 314–316 Positive statements, for present levels, 131*t* (macrodelivery system) Poverty. See Socioeconomic status and chil-Pupil records, 303-304 PWN. See Prior written notice (PWN) dren's well-being Powell, R., 171 Practice. See Evidence-based practice (EBP); R Good practice Rachel H. case. See Sacramento City School Pragmatics. See Social language and Dist. v. Rachel H. pragmatics Racial disparities, 6, 10, 12 Preliteracy and literacy acquisition, 246–247 *See also* Disproportionality Prelock, P., 277 Reading and reading disabilities, 235–239 Prereferral process, 79–84, 394–395 See also Adapted textbooks Preschool service delivery, 239-248 Reading scores (kindergartners), 12–13 Present levels of academic achievement, 129, Recordkeeping and documentation, 163–166, 131–133, 131t 228–229, 303–304, 313 Principals, 364, 365 Records, parental access to, 164-166, 304 Prior written notice (PWN), 98, 99f, 102, Reevaluations, 92, 94, 127, 306-307 305-306 See also Triennial assessments Private assessments, 102 Referrals and referral process, 64–66, 84–86, See also Independent educational evaluations (IEEs) See also Prereferral process Private practice, 353 Reforms. See General education reforms; Spe-Private school students, 299 cial education reforms Prizant, B., 284 Regional services, 338 Problem-solving teams. See Instructional Rehabilitation Act of 1973. See Section 504 of support teams (ISTs) the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Procedural safeguards, 25, 98, 100, 302-303, Resolution session process, 309–310, 310f 305-306 Response to intervention (RTI) Professionalism about, 66–73, 70*t*, 72*t* activities for aspiring SLPs, 363–364 assessment process and results of, 95, 105 certification and licensing, 328–332, 330t dynamic measures and, 114 competency requirements, 36, 128–129 essential components of, 81–82 continuing education, 366–368 resources, 202 employment, 333–337, 336t screenings versus, 394 ethics, 351-354 service delivery in, 170-172, 173t flexibility, 179 speech-language pathologists' role in, future watch and, 387-389 82 - 84legislative knowledge, 385–386 Risley, T., 10-11 liability and insurance, 359-361 Roseberry-McKibbin, C., 11–12, 265 research to practice, 386 Roth, F., 180, 183, 267 roles and responsibilities, 344–345, 346t, Rowley case. See Board of Education of Hen-348, 384–386 drick Hudson School District v. Rowley scope of practice, 380–381, 387–388 Rudebusch, J., 153, 156, 171 support networks, 361, 362t, 363–366 teacher unions, 358–359 Professional learning communities (PLCs), Sacramento City School Dist. v. Rachel H., 78, 81, 376 47-48

SAI (Specialized academic instruction), 161

Salary and benefits, 336–337

Professional organizations, 354–358

tion, 160–162, 316

Program, placement, and services determina-

SCERTS model, 284 Scheduling and organizing, 210–219, 211*f*, 212*t*, 215*t*, 216*t*, 242–244 Scherer, M., 149 Schmitt, M., 189–190 Scope of practice, 380–381, 387–388 Screening procedures, 77–78, 392, 394–397 See also Newborn hearing screenings Secondary students service delivery, 248–254, 250t-251t, 253f Secord, W., 110 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 55–58, 58t, 59f, 134, 219 Sequential bilingualism, 146 Service delivery. See Special education service delivery; Speech–language service delivery models Services determination. See Program, placement, and services determination Severe disabilities, children with, 138–141, 139t, 140t, 157–158 See also Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), children with Skibbe, L., 247 SLPAs. See Speech-language pathology assistants (SLPAs) SLPs. See Speech-language pathologists SMART-goal format, 156-157, 157t Snow, C., 235 Social language and pragmatics, 251, 279 Socioeconomic status and children's wellbeing, 10–12 Special education funding, 339–343 See also Medicaid Special education reforms, 19–61 Special education service delivery, 172, 174, 179–188, 182*t*, 373, 374*t*, 377 See also IEP meeting process; Individualized Education Program (IEP) Special education statistics and trends, 7, 10, 15-16, 143tSpecialized academic instruction (SAI), 161 Specific learning disability, 93–94 Speech aides, 346, 347 Speech–language pathologists (SLPs), 3, 286–287, 295, 333, 383–385 See also Professionalism Speech-language pathology assistants (SL-PAs), 217–218, 219, 292, 328, 345–349 Speech–language service delivery models about, 170, 175*t*–178*t*, 188–190, 202–203 articulation resourece centers, 218–219 caseload versus workload, 206–210, 207f for CLD students, 262–263 consultation and collaboration, 223-234, 233t

DIR and SCERTS, 284 grouping strategies, 210, 219–223 infants and toddlers, 267, 268, 270 and linking to curriculum, 232–239, 233t literacy, reading, and writing, 234–239 macrodelivery systems, 184–185 peer tutors, 229-230 in preschool, 239–248 in prevention (RTI model), 170–172, 173t scheduling and organizing, 210–219, 211f, 212t, 215t, 216t, 242–244 for secondary students, 248–254, 250*t*– 251t, 253f support personnel model, 217–218 telepractice, 174 vocabulary development, 189, 227 Speech-language services eligibility determination for, 136–138 FAPE and LRE in, 51, 55 legislation and effects on, 3–6, 39–51, 52*t*– 55t, 60t–62ttrends in, 1–2 SST. See Student study team (SST) model Standardized assessment measures, 107, Standardized assessment systems, 35–36 State consultants, 365–366 State funding, 339 See also Medicaid; Special education funding State IDEA complaint procedures, 314 State licensing, 330–331, 330*t*, 347 State speech-language-hearing associations, Static measures. See Standardized assessment measures "Stay put," 313 Strengths and weaknesses, patterns of, 106 Student records, 303–304 Student study team (SST) model, 80 See also Instructional support teams (ISTs) Student trends, future, 378–379 Sturm, J., 188 Supervisors, 364–365 Support networks, 361, 362*t*, 363–366 Support personnel model, 217–218, 224, 381 Surrogate parents, 321 Suspended or expelled students, 298, 316, 317

Τ

Swank, L., 249

Taylor, D., 247 Teacher and team training, 84–86, 116 Teacher certification, 329, 331–332 Teacher interviews, 100 Teacher unions, 358–359, 361

U Team approach, 94–95, 97 See also Instructional support teams UDL. See Universal design for learning (ISTs); Multidisciplinary assessment (UDL) teams (MDATs); Multidisciplinary teams UNHSs (Universal newborn hearing screen-(MDTs); Professional learning commuings), 272 nities (PLCs) Unions. See Teacher unions Team training. See Teacher and team Uniquely Human (Prizant), 284 training Universal design for learning (UDL), 133, Technical assistance. See Training and tech-228, 378 nical assistance See also Special education service delivery Telepractice, 174 Universal newborn hearing screenings Testifying dos and don'ts, 312–313, 313t (UNHSs), 272 Theory of Mind, 279 Universal screening, 78 Therapeutic relationship. See Counseling and U.S. Regional Courts of Appeals, 310f guidance Thordardottir, E., 259 ٧ Throneburg, R., 188 Van Kleeck, A., 247 Tiered system of supports, 71–73, 72t, 78 Vicker, B., 186, 225 See also Multi-Tiered System of Supports Vocabulary development, 188–189 (MTSS) Vygotsky, L., 113 **Timelines** assessment process, 91, 101-102, 101t W for becoming a speech-language patholo-Wallach, G., 170 gist, 332 Weddington, G., 265 due process and, 313 Whitmire, K., 179 for IEP meetings, 325 Wiig, E., 110 Training and technical assistance, 292–293, Will, M., 4 368 Workload, 206–210, 207f Transitioning (preschoolers), 242 Worthington, C., 180, 183 Transition plans, 251–252 Wyatt, T., 265 Translators. See Interpreters and translators Travel, 299 Υ Triennial assessments, 166-167 Yates, J., 259 See also Reevaluations Year-round education (YRE) schedules, 212-Troia, G., 73 Turnbull, H., 302 217, 215*t*, 216*t* 12-month education. See Year-round educa-7 tion (YRE) schedules 21st century education services, 377–382 Zirkel, P., 50